MONTANA SENATE 2007 LEGISLATURE

ROLL CALL

JUDICIARY

DATE /-/0-07

ESENT V V V V V V V V V V V V V		<u>ا</u>
		<u>ا</u>
		<u>ا</u>
	,	
V V	,	
V V		
V		,
L		
V		
~		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
6		
·		
		_
	·	



SENATE STANDING COMMITTEE REPORT

January 10, 2007 Page 1 of 1

Mr. President:

We, your committee on Judiciary recommend that Senate Bill 73 (first reading copy -- white)

do pass.

Signed:

Senator Jesse Daslovich, Chair

- END -

1



SENATE STANDING COMMITTEE REPORT

January 10, 2007 Page 1 of 2

Mr. President:

We, your committee on Judiciary recommend that Senate Bill 27 (first reading copy -- white)

do pass as amended.

Signed:___

Senator Jesse Laglovich, Chair

And, that such amendments read:

1. Title, line 7.

Following: "A"

Strike: "CUSTODIAL"

Insert: "FINDING THAT CONTACT IS IN THE BEST INTERESTS OF THE

CHILD AND THE PRESUMPTION IN FAVOR OF A FIT"

2. Title, line 8.

Following: "BE"

Strike: "SHOWN TO BE AN UNFIT PARENT"

Insert: "REBUTTED"

3. Title, line 9 through line 10.

Strike: "IN THE BEST" on line 9 through "CHILD" on line 10

4. Page 1, line 29.

Following: the first "parent"

Strike: "or" through "neglect"

Insert: "and in the case of an objecting fit parent that the
 presumption in favor of the parent's wishes has been

rebutted"

5. Page 2, line 16 through line 17.

Following: "only" on line 16

Strike: remainder of line 16 through "and" on line 17

Following: "child" on line 17

Insert: "and the presumption in favor of the parent's wishes has

been rebutted"

Committee Vote:

Yes 12, No 0.

061206SC.ssc

6. Page 2, line 28.

Strike: "<u>(a)</u>"

Strike: "custodial"

Insert: "fit"

7. Page 2, line 30.

Strike: "objecting parent is an unfit parent and that the"

Following: "contact"

Insert: "with the grandparent"

Following: "child"

Insert: "and that the presumption in favor of the parent's wishes

has been rebutted"

8. Page 3, line 1 through line 2.

Strike: subsection (b) in its entirety

- END -

SENATE PROXY FORM

using a standard form.	e 30-70 (13) (f), a committee member	r may vote by proxy
5873	PROXY VOTE	
I, the undersigned, hereb	by authorize Senator Send egale	ames_
to vote my proxy on any	issue before the Senate	
		Committee
held on held on	2007	, 2007.
	SENATOR STATE OF MONTA	Julius

SENATE PROXY FORM

According to Senate Rule 30-70 (13) (f), a committee member may vote by proxy using a standard form.

PROXY VOTE

I, the undersigned, hereby authorize Senator <u>Dan McGee</u>	
to vote my proxy on any issue before the Senate <u>Judiciary</u>	
	Committee
held on 1-10-02	, 2007
973-Aye	
373-Aye 327-Aye	75 73 - 12 - 13 - 13 - 13 - 13 - 13 - 13 - 1
SENATOR STATE OF MONTANA	

MONTANA STATE SENATE 2007 LEGISLATURE

VISITOR REGISTER

JUDICIARY

DATE January 10, 2007

BILLS BEING HEARD TODAY 58 85, 104, 156, 154

PLEASE PRINT

NAME	PHONE	REPRESENTING	BILL#	SUPPORT	OPPOSE
Jimkembel	439-6791	MACOP	58 85 754 10 4	Х	
Shilly K Brown	444-5906	DPHH-CFSID	SB85 A	Ymmat	inal
Crary Campbell	459-2756	MNOA	5385	<i>'</i> ×	
Wille ales	443.7794	MCADSV	58104, 156	×	
Tal B Woundler	459 6843	St-Peter's Happiel	5385	X	
\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \				,	
Jim Smill	443-1570	* MCAA	SB 85, 10;	4/4X	
SHERRI RAFTER	458 3721	DEC			
Jani McCall	670-3084	MCI - Billings Clinic	5B85	У	
(Son A)strum	444-9529	Probate & Perle	156	Infont	
Ali Bovingdon/	444-9734	AG's Office	104,156	X	
Cores Tole	439-1576	my Ryul Lines	SB 154	X	
Kachel Kobsists	494-7773	Montana Family Foundation	156,154	, X	
Ali Borinda	444 9734	16's office	154	Information	
Jelsen Young	4-13-7794	MCADSV	156,104	X	
fara Bud	444.2026	DO	85	X	
Linda Cryezon	431-3635	Business + Professional Q	50156	X	
Scott Ciret	4438590	neu T	SB154/18		_ (^

PLEASE LEAVE PREPARED STATEMENT WITH COMMITTEE SECRETARY



Fiscal Note 2009 Biennium

		FY 2008 Difference	FY 2009 Difference		FY 2010 Difference	FY 2011 Difference
		FISCAL	SUMMARY			
	Included in the Executive Budget	☐ Significant Lor	ng-Term Impacts		Dedicated Revenue	Form Attached
			ncluded in HB 2		Technical Concerns	
Prima	ry Sponsor: Perry, Gary L		Status: As	s Intro	luced	
Bill #	SB0156		Title: Se	x offer	nder accountability ac	et

\$456,342

(\$456,342

\$761,001

(\$761,001)

\$1,065,659

(\$1,065,659)

Description of fiscal Impact:

Net Impact-General Fund Balance

This bill would permit county attorneys to prosecute a youth age 16 or older as an adult for the crime of sexual assault. The penalties for sexual intercourse without consent and sexual abuse of children when the victim is less than 12 years old would be increased to 25 years without the possibility of parole followed by lifetime probation.

\$151,684

(\$151.684)

FISCAL ANALYSIS

Assumptions:

Expenditures: General Fund

General Fund

Revenue:

- 1. This law imposes a mandatory minimum term of 25 years for those convicted of sexual intercourse without consent and sexual abuse of children when the victim is less than 12 years of age.
- 2. An increase in cost will occur in the first five years due to probationers who will be sentenced to prison on the first offense under this bill. The Department of Corrections estimates 11 probationers will be sentenced to prison in FY 2008 and an additional 11 in FY 2009, 2010 and 2011. Using the current DOC cost per day of \$75.88, the FY 2008 cost is estimated to be \$151,684.12, \$456,342.32 in FY 2009, \$761,000.52 in FY 2010 and \$1,065,658.72 in FY 2011.

- 3. The current average sentence for offenders convicted of these sex offenses is five years. An additional increase in cost for offenders already in prison for these offenses will occur after five years. Lifetime probation will not occur until 2033.
- 4. Long-term impact of sentencing sex offenders to a minimum 25 year sentence is \$10,319,908 by FY 2017.
- 5. Under this bill, an additional 11 offenders between the ages of 16 and 18 could be sentenced as an adult instead of a juvenile for the crime of sexual assault. There is no way to determine how many of those offenders sentenced as a juvenile would be sentenced as an adult because it is at the court's discretion.

	FY 2008 Difference	FY 2009 Difference	FY 2010 Difference	FY 2011 Difference		
Fiscal Impact:	-	·				
Expenditures:						
Operating Expenses	\$151,684	\$456,342	\$761,001	\$1,065,659		
Funding of Expenditures:				•		
General Fund (01)	\$151,684	\$456,342	\$761,001	\$1,065,659		
Revenues:			•			
General Fund (01)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
Net Impact to Fund Balance (Revenue minus Funding of Expenditures):						
General Fund (01)	(\$151,684)	(\$456,342)	(\$761,001)	(\$1,065,659)		

Sponsor's Initials

e Budget Director's Init

Date